

Justice

Libertarianism

5. Libertarianism: Free Market Philosophy

Objections to J.S. Mill's Utilitarianism  
re: Higher pleasure Experiment with people actually selecting The Simpsons over Shakespeare  
re: Individual rights What if we can violate individual rights to make more people happy on long term run?  
Intuition: reasons for respect rights goes beyond utility on the long run - we can't kill one checkup patient to save 5 just because of long run

Fundamental individual right is the right to liberty by Robert Nozick

**THE LIBERTARIAN VIEW OF GOVERNMENT**  
1. No Paternalist Legislation  
2. No Morals Legislation  
3. No Redistribution of Income from Rich to Poor

1. No Paternalist Legislation Wearing or not wearing seat belts is our business (people pay their medical bills) - so the state has no business here

2. No Morals Legislation E.g. sex between gays - nobody is harmed, nobody's rights are violated, so the state should get out of this business entirely

3. No Redistribution of Income from Rich to Poor  
No taxes!  
Robert Nozick and others say that only minimal taxes are ok but just for what everybody needs: national defense, police force, judicial system to enforce contracts and property rights

**NOZICK: WHAT MAKES INCOME DISTRIBUTION JUST?**  
1. Justice in Acquisition (initial holdings)  
2. Justice in Transfer (free market)

Justice in Acquisition (initial holdings)  
Justice in Transfer (free market)

Example: Bill Gates 40B\$ 150\$/second since he started Microsoft  
Utilitarianists would take his money to give them to poor (to maximize good) But Libertarians are against such "theft" - if he earned it FAIRLY it belongs to him!

**NOZICK'S ARGUMENT AGAINST TAXATION**  
Taxation = taking of earnings  
Taking of earnings = forced labor  
Forced Labor = slavery  
Violates Principle of Self-Possession

Robert Nozick's arguments against Taxation This is why Utilitarianism is wrong!

6. Libertarianism: Do We Own Ourselves?

Objections to Libertarianism  
? Paid (non-public) police and fire protection creates problem of "free riders" Milton Friedman told that this could be avoided Example: fire guarding subscription and person who failed to renew and got fire in his house and firemen were just watching  
? Q: This is bad example - free riders will remain if all around has police protection then I need it less!

- 1. The poor need the money more
- 2. Taxation by consent of the governed (majority) is not carried "under the force" But what about rights of free speech and religion liberty? We have some rights that cannot be taken by government!
- 3. The successful owe a debt to society
- ? 4. Wealth depends partly on LUCK so it isn't deserved
- ? Q: Monopolies

So - many people object to Libertarianism with "we own ourselves" and all consequences But Libertarians do the good thing - they combat Utilitarianism idea of using people for the collective happiness! There is another approach by John Lock (in the next lecture)